

1 component parts of the article.

2 The compelling reason for the one report is the
3 interdependence of the component parts of the article. Divi-
4 sions of the recommendation by subject matter cannot
5 properly be evaluated except in relation to the final recom-
6 mendation of the committee in other areas.

7 For instance, if the legislature in some area should
8 provide for a multi-county district court, as it is allowed
9 to do by Section 510, its operation and function cannot be
10 properly evaluated except in relation to Section 530, which
11 requires a clerk of the district court in each county.

12 As I have indicated, during recent years much
13 thought and effort has been devoted to improvement of the
14 judicial system of Maryland.

15 Judges, lawyers and laymen are agreed that
16 improvement is necessary to meet the changing circumstances
17 and new conditions which generate an ever-expanding caseload.

18 In some areas improvement cannot be accomplished
19 without reform and reform requires a break with tradition.
20 There probably cannot be a model judiciary article until
21 we first have the model state to adopt it.

The recommended judiciary article does not in
some instances follow the desired general approach in